## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND DECISION RECORD

UT-050-04-045

### Richfield Fire Management Plan Environmental Assessment (EA)

Based on the analysis of potential environmental impacts in the attached environmental assessment (EA UT-050-04-045) and consideration of the significance criteria in 40 CFR 1508.27, I have determined that the Richfield Fire Management Plan (FMP) would not result in significant impacts on the human environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is therefore not required.

#### **Decision:**

It is my decision to authorize the implementation of the Richfield Fire Management Plan as described in the Proposed Action alternative of EA-UT-050-04-045 for BLM-administered lands in the Richfield Support Center.

#### **Summary of the Selected Alternative:**

The selected alternative is the Proposed Action alternative of the EA. The fire and fuels management direction as currently documented in the Fire Management Plan for the Richfield Support Center would be replaced by new direction outlined in the selected alternative. The decisions in this fire management plan apply to BLM-administered lands only and provide criteria to guide implementation-level fire management actions.

The selected alternative emphasizes strategic fire management planning that integrates resource management goals, objectives, and concerns with fire management actions. Further, it emphasizes protection of life and resources through wildland fire and fuels management, and incorporates current scientific principles regarding the benefits of wildland fire in the ecosystem while implementing cost-effective fire management strategies. Management direction is organized within the FMP by 29 land area subdivisions called fire management units (FMUs). The selected alternative describes fire management actions, goals (by acres), and objectives for each FMU. The fire management activities considered in the selected alternative are:

- Wildland fire suppression suppression objectives outline the acreage-per-fire-event to which
  wildland fires will be contained within that FMU; emergency stabilization and rehabilitation (ESR)
  actions may follow wildland fire suppression
- Wildland fire use accomplishing specific pre-determined resource management goals with the management of naturally ignited wildfires (for each FMU where wildland fire use has been identified for potential use)
- Prescribed fire fuel treatments the use of prescribed fire would be used to benefit ecosystems and reduce hazardous fuels (after an interdisciplinary review of specific areas for suitability)

• Non-fire fuel treatments – the use of mechanical, chemical, biological, or seeding treatments to benefit ecosystems and reduce hazardous fuels (after an interdisciplinary review of specific areas for suitability)

To protect natural and cultural resources, the selected alternative identifies fire management Resource Protection Measures (see Attachment #I) for the implementation of wildland fire suppression, wildland fire use for resource benefit, prescribed fire and non-fire fuel treatments, and emergency stabilization and rehabilitation actions.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) has completed a Biological Opinion on the Proposed Action alternative and Terms and Conditions have been identified in Appendix I of the EA. The Resource Protection Measures and FWS Terms and Conditions minimize or avoid resource impacts. Therefore, no additional mitigation is necessary because of the protections afforded by the selected alternative. Monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the implementation of the FMP would be conducted through site-specific project planning.

Fire management actions will be evaluated for adherence to this FMP and the associated Resource Protection Measures. Specific monitoring requirements will be followed for prescribed fire (H-9214-1, 1998) and ESR (ESR Handbook, 1999). The extent of monitoring activities may be limited by lack of funding.

#### **Rationale for the Decision:**

The decision to authorize the implementation of the Richfield Fire Management Plan has been made in consideration of the environmental impacts of the selected alternative. The selected alternative conforms with BLM planning directives and federal fire management policy, as described in the Federal Wildland Fire Management Policy (1995), Review and Update of the Federal Wildland Fire Management Policy (2001), and A Collaborative Approach for Reducing Wildland Fire Risks to Communities and the Environment: Ten-Year Comprehensive Strategy (2001). National fire management direction has evolved in recent years in response to increased fatalities, property loss, local economic disruptions, risk to ecosystems associated with increasingly severe wildland fire seasons, and increasing wildland urban interface conflicts. Federal agencies have been mandated to revise fire management programs to incorporate practices designed to increase protection of human life while decreasing the potential for natural/cultural resource and private property damage.

The selected alternative incorporates these policy changes into the Support Center's FMP and provides the necessary fire management tools to public land managers across the state to meet resource objectives. This implementation-level plan provides fire management direction that is compliant with national and interagency direction. The FMP documents the fire management program for the Richfield Support Center, which is comprised of BLM-administered lands in the Richfield and Fillmore Field Offices. The FMP is based on the Utah Land Use Plan Amendment for Fire and Fuels Management (2005), which amended the Richfield Field Office's Forest (1977), Henry Mountain (1982), Mountain Valley (1982), and Parker Mountain (1982) Management Framework Plans, and the Cedar Beaver Garfield Antimony RMP (1984). The FMP is also based on the decisions described in the Fillmore Field Office's Warm Springs (1987) and House Range (1987) Resource Management Plans. FMPs are the fire manager's primary guide for planning and implementing fire-related direction on the ground. FMPs incorporate the broader LUP management direction provided in the Amendment (2005).

The No Action alternative was not selected because it does not meet the purpose and need of the proposed action. The No Action alternative would not conform with national and agency direction for fire and fuels management planning. The No Action alternative would be inconsistent with the land use plans, as amended,

because it would continue the implementation of fire management decisions that do not reflect current fire management direction.

This decision takes into consideration the potential environmental impacts that could result from implementation of the FMP. Potential resource conflicts with fire and fuels management actions have been resolved with the incorporation of the Resource Protection Measures and FWS Terms and Conditions. These Resource Protection Measures and FWS Terms and Conditions will minimize or eliminate conflicts or potential impacts associated with the FMP. Attachment #2 includes an erratum to Appendix E for the addition of a Resource Protection Measure relating to BLM's commitment to abide by the Terms and Conditions of the USFWS Biological Opinion (Appendix I of the EA).

This fire management planning process included several opportunities for public participation. A public scoping period was provided in the spring and summer of 2004 (April 2 to July 14, 2004) with five public scoping meetings when the environmental assessment process was initiated. Comments received during the scoping period helped to finalize the Proposed Action and address resource conflicts. The public was notified of an opportunity to review and provide comments on the EA from January 16, to February 17, 2006. Two comment letters were received. The comments did not modify or lead to changes in the analysis of the environmental impacts of the proposed amendment. Please see Attachment #2 for the BLM Response to Comments.

The BLM has complied with agency and CEQ guidelines for NEPA and public participation. Throughout the development of this EA, no inconsistencies with local, state, tribal, or other federal agency land use plans, policies, or programs were identified or brought to the attention of the BLM. Further, the selected alternative is in compliance with all federal and local laws. There are no known unresolved conflicts or issues with members of the public, organizations, or other agencies related to this FMP.

#### APPEALS LANGUAGE

The decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4. Public notification of this decision will be considered to have occurred on the date of signature by the Utah State Director, below. Within 30 days of the decision, a notice of appeal must be filed in the office of the authorized officer at the BLM Utah State Office, Attn: State Director, P.O. Box 45155, Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0155. If a statement of reasons for the appeal is not included with the notice, it must be filed with the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of the Interior, 801 North Quincy St., Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203 within 30 days after the notice of appeal is filed with the authorized officer.

If you wish to file a petition for stay pursuant to 43 CFR Part 4.21(b), the petition for stay should accompany your notice of appeal and shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (I) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (3) The likelihood of irreparable harm to the appellant or resources if the stay is not granted, and
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

If a petition for stay is submitted with the notice of appeal, a copy of the notice of appeal and petition for stay must be served on each party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken, and with the IBLA at the same time it is filed with the authorized officer.

A copy of the notice of appeal, any statement of reasons and all pertinent documents must be served on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and the Office of the Regional Solicitor,

U.S. Department of the Interior, 6201 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138-1180, not later than 15 days after filing the document with the authorized officer and/or IBLA.

/s/ Gene Terland	May 4, 2006
Acting State Director	Date of signature

#### Attachment #1:

Resource Protection Measures identified in the Richfield Fire Management Plan EA

#### Attachment #2:

BLM Response to Comments and Erratum to the EA

**Attachment #1:** Resource Protection Measures identified in the Richfield Fire Management Plan EA (Appendix E of the EA, as corrected by Attachment #2 - Erratum)

Abbreviations for fire management actions: SUP: Wildfire suppression; NF: Non-fire fuels treatment; WFU: Wildland fire use for resource benefit; ESR: Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation; RX: Prescribed Fire

Code	Protection Measures (and applicable fire management practices)	<b>FMUs</b>
Air Quo	ality	
AQ-I	Evaluate weather conditions, including wind speed and atmospheric stability, to predict impacts from smoke from prescribed fires and wildland fire use. Coordinate with Utah Department of Environmental Quality for prescribed fires and wildland fire use. (RX, WFU)	
AQ-2	When using chemical fuels reduction methods, follow all label requirements for herbicide application. (NF)	
AQ-3	Restricted air space: Get clearance through RIFC prior to flights in these areas. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	
Cultura	Il Resources	
CR-I	Cultural resource advisors should be contacted when fires occur in areas containing sensitive cultural resources. (SUP)	All
CR-2	Wildland fire use is discouraged in areas containing sensitive cultural resources. A Programmatic Agreement is being prepared between the Utah State Historic Preservation Office, BLM, and the Advisory Council to cover the finding of adverse effect on cultural resources associated with wildland fire use. (WFU)	All
CR-3	Potential impacts of proposed treatment should be evaluated for compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the Utah Statewide Protocol. This should be conducted prior to the proposed treatment. (RX, NF, ESR)	
CR-4	The resource advisor would consult with the agency archaeologist prior to construction of dozer/major hand lines and use of fire retardant. (SUP, WFU, RX)	
CR-5	Apply fuels reduction where applicable around vulnerable prehistoric and historic resources to reduce damage from wildland fire. (RX, NF)	C-6
Invasive	, Non-native Species	
INV-I	Wash any equipment used in areas where noxious weeds occur to minimize spread of noxious weeds. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All
INV-2	In areas known to have weed infestations, aggressive action should be taken in rehabilitating firelines, seeding and follow-up monitoring, and treatment to reduce the spread of noxious weeds. Monitor burned areas and treat as necessary. All seed used would be tested for purity and for noxious weeds. Seed with noxious weeds would be rejected (ROD 13 Western States Vegetation Treatment EIS 1991). (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All
INV-3	Use certified weed-free seed on suppression rehabilitation. (SUP)	All
INV-4	Use of water in the Fishlake Basin could spread Whirling disease and other aquatic invasive species. Contact a resource advisor before water from any streams or waterbodies is used. (SUP, WFU, RX)	
Native A	American Religious Concerns	
NAT-I	Consultation would be completed on an individual site-specific basis. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All

Code	Protection Measures (and applicable fire management practices)	<b>FMUs</b>
Threate	ned, Endangered or Candidate Species - Plants and Animals	
END-I	Initiate Emergency Section 7 consultation with United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) upon the determination that wildfire suppression may pose a potential threat to any listed threatened or endangered species or adverse modification of designated critical habitat. (SUP)	All
END-2	Prior to planned fire management actions, survey for listed threatened and endangered (T&E) and non-listed sensitive species. Initiate Section 7 consultation with USFWS as necessary if proposed project may affect any listed species. Review appropriate management, conservation, and recovery plans and include recovery plan direction into project proposals. For non-listed special status plant and animal species, follow the direction contained in the BLM 6840 Manual. Ensure that any proposed project conserves non-listed sensitive species and their habitats and ensure that any action authorized, funded, or carried out by BLM does not contribute to the need for any species to become listed. (RX, NF, ESR)	
END-3	See site-specific conservation measures identified in the Biological Opinion (see Appendix I of the EA). (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All
END-4	A resource advisor must coordinate with the plant specialist in the Fillmore field office in order to authorize any dozer use. (SUP, WFU)	B-I
END-5	Contact the resource advisor for all fire management activities that may affect the Utah Prairie Dogs. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	
END-6	Contact the resource advisor for all fire management activities that may affect the Southwest Willow Flycatcher. Manage fires according to the conservation plan. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	
END-7	Protect Mexican Spotted Owl habitat. Manage fires according to the Mexican spotted owl recovery plan and "Suggestions for the Management of Mexican Spotted Owls." Contact resource advisor for all fire management activities. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	
END-8	Suppress all wildland fires in critical sage grouse, prairie dog, or pygmy rabbit habitat. (SUP)	
END-9	Contact the resource advisor for fire management activities in Bonneville cutthroat trout or Boreal toad habitat. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	
Waste	s (Hazardous or Solid)	
HW-I	Recognize hazardous wastes and move fire personnel to a safe distance from dumped chemicals, unexploded ordnance, drug labs, wire burn sites, or any other hazardous wastes. Immediately notify BLM Field Office hazmat coordinator or state hazmat coordinator upon discovery of any hazardous materials, following the BLM hazardous materials contingency plan. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All
Wetlan	ds/Riparian Zones	
WET-I	Plan and implement projects taking into account the potential impacts on water quality, including increased water yields that can threaten: fisheries and aquatic habitat; improvements at channel crossings; channel stability; and downstream values. Of special concern are: small headwaters of moderate to steep watersheds; erosive soils; multiple channel crossings; atrisk fisheries; and downstream residents. (RX, NF, ESR)	All
WET-2	When using chemical fuel reduction treatments follow all label directions, additional mitigations identified in project NEPA evaluation and the Approved Pesticide Use Proposal.  At a minimum, provide a 100 foot wide riparing buffer strip for agriculant light and application, 25 foot for	

Code	Protection Measures (and applicable fire management practices)	<b>FMUs</b>	
WET-3	Avoid disturbance of and the dropping of retardant in wetlands, springs, streams, or any areas containing riparian vegetation. (SUP)	A-1, C-4, D-1, D-4	
WET-4	Avoid using retardant in the Pruess Lake riparian area unless life and property is in immediate danger. (SUP)		
WET-5	Plan and implement projects consistent with compliance strategies for restoring or maintaining the restoration of water quality impaired [303(d) listed] waterbodies. Planned activities should take into account the potential impacts on water quality, including increased water yields that can threaten fisheries and aquatic habitat; improvements at channel crossings; channel stability; and downstream values. Of special concern are small headwaters of moderate to steep watersheds; erosive or saline soils; multiple channel crossings; at-risk fisheries; and downstream residents. (RX, NF, ESR)		
WET-6	Suppress wildfires consistent with compliance strategies for restoring or maintaining the restoration of water quality impaired [303(d) listed] waterbodies. Do not use retardant within 300 feet of water bodies. (SUP, WFU)	All	
WET-7	Avoid heavy equipment in riparian or wetland areas. During wildfire suppression or wildland fire use, consult a resource advisor before using heavy equipment in riparian or wetland areas. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All	
WET-8	Limit ignition within native riparian or wetland. Allow low-intensity fire to burn into riparian areas. (RX)	All	
Wild an	d Scenic Rivers Not Indicated		
	ess, Wilderness Study Areas ·1, H-1742-1, Manual Section 1742)		
Wild-I	The use of earth-moving equipment must be authorized by the field office manager. (SUP, WFU, RX, ESR)		
Wild-2	Fire management actions would rely on the most effective methods of suppression that are least damaging to wilderness values, other resources and the environment, while requiring the least expenditure of public funds. (SUP, WFU)		
Wild-3	A resource advisor should be consulted when fire occurs in Wilderness and WSA. (SUP, WFU)	All	
Wild-4	All methods and tools used for suppression within the Wilderness Study Areas would be consistent with Interim Management Policy and Guidelines (BLM Manual H-8550-I). (SUP)	All	
Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines			
R-I	Suppress all wildfires in black sage stands. (SUP)	C-5, C-8	
Livesto	ck Grazing		
(43 CFR	4160.1, and 43 CFR 4190, Utah Standards and Guidelines for Healthy Rangelands 1997)		
L-I	Notify permittees of requirements for non-use or rest of treated areas. Coordinate with permittees regarding the requirements for non-use or rest of treated areas. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All	
L-2	Rangelands that have been burned, by wildfire, prescribed fire, or wildland fire use, would be ungrazed for a minimum of one complete growing season following the burn. (SUP, WFU, RX)		
L-3	Rangelands that have been re-seeded or otherwise treated to alter vegetative composition, chemically or mechanically, would be ungrazed for a minimum of two complete growing seasons. (RX, NF, ESR)		

Code	Protection Measures (and applicable fire management practices)	<b>FMUs</b>
L-4	Consider impacts on allotment management during wildland fire operations. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All
Woodla	and/Forestry	
WF-I	Planned projects should be consistent with HFRA Section 102(e) (2) to maintain or contribute to the restoration of old-growth stands to a pre-fire suppression condition and to retain large trees contributing to old-growth structure. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF)	
WF-2	During planning, evaluate opportunities to utilize forest and woodland products prior to implementing prescribed fire activities. Include opportunities to use forest and woodland product sales to accomplish non-fire fuel treatments. In forest and woodland stands, consider developing silvicultural prescriptions concurrently with fuel treatments prescriptions. (RX, NF)	
WF-3	Protect bristlecone pine stands. Fires would be kept to 50 acres 90% of the time in FILs (Fire Intensity Levels) I-4. (SUP, WFU, RX)	B-4, C-4, D-1, D-2, D-3
Vegetat	tion including Special Status Plants	
V-I	When restoring or rehabilitating disturbed rangelands, non-intrusive, nonnative plant species are appropriate for use when native species: (1) are not available; (2) are not economically feasible; (3) cannot achieve ecological objectives as well as nonnative species; and/or (4) cannot compete with already established native species (Noxious Weeds Executive Order 13112 2/3/1999; BLM Manual 9015; BLM ROD 13 Western States Vegetation Treatment EIS 1991). (RX, NF, ESR)	
Fish and	d Wildlife including Special Status species	
FWSS-I	Avoid treatments during nesting, fawning, spawning, or other critical periods for wildlife or fish. (RX, NF, ESR)	All
FWSS-2	Avoid if possible or limit the size of, wildland fires in important wildlife habitats such as, mule deer winter range, riparian and occupied sage grouse habitat. Use resource advisors to help prioritize resources and develop Wildland Fire Situation Analyses (WFSAs) and Wildland Fire Implementation Plans (WFIPs) when important habitats may be impacted. (SUP, WFU)	
FWSS-3	Minimize wildfire size and frequency in sagebrush communities where sage grouse habitat objectives would not be met if a fire occurs. Prioritize wildfire suppression in sagebrush habitat with an understory of invasive, annual species. Retain unburned islands and patches of	
FWSS-4	Establish fuels treatment projects at strategic locations to minimize size of wildfires and limit further loss of sagebrush. Fuel treatments may include greenstripping to help reduce the spread of wildfires into sagebrush communities. (RX, NF)	
FWSS-5	Lice wildland fire to meet wildlife objectives. Evaluate impacts on sage grouse habitat in areas	
FWSS-6	Create small openings in continuous or dense sagebrush (>30% canopy cover) to create a	
FWSS-7	On sites that are currently occupied by forests or woodlands, but historically supported sagebrush communities, implement treatments (fire, cutting, chaining, seeding, etc.) to reestablish sagebrush communities. (RX, NF)	All

Evaluate and monitor burned areas and continue management restrictions until the recovering and/or seeded plant community reflect the desired condition. (SUP, WFU, RX, ESR)  Utilize the Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation program to apply appropriate post-fire treatments within crucial wildlife habitats, including sage grouse habitats. Minimize seeding with non-native species that may create a continuous perennial grass over and restrict establishment of native vegetation. Seed mixtures should be designed to re-establish important seasonal habitat components for sage grouse. Leks should not be re-seeded with plants that change the vegetation height previously found on the lek. Forbs should be stressed in early and late brood-rearing habitats. In situations of limited funds for ESR actions, prioritize rehabilitation of sage grouse habitats. (ESR)  In mountain brush vegetation types, where critical mule deer and bison habitat has been identified, allow wildland fires to burn up to 25% of the area. If the burn is extremely hot and kills the majority of the browse species, reseed using the following mixture: from 5,500 feet and above, use bitterbrush, serviceberry, mountain mahogany, Indian ricegrass, and bluebunch wheatgrass; below 5,500 feet use Wyoming sage, four wing saltbrush, cliffrose, and bluebunch wheatgrass. Use 12 lbs./acre on each elevation zone. (SUP, WFU)  Soil  Avoid heavy equipment use on highly erosive soils (soils with low soil loss tolerance), wet or boggy soils, and slopes greater than 30%, unless otherwise analyzed and allowed under appropriate NEPA evaluation with implementation of additional erosion control and other soil protection mitigation measures. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)  There may be situations where high intensity fire would occur on sensitive and erosive soil types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement	All D-10 All			
treatments within crucial wildlife habitats, including sage grouse habitats. Minimize seeding with non-native species that may create a continuous perennial grass cover and restrict establishment of native vegetation. Seed mixtures should be designed to re-establish important seasonal habitat components for sage grouse. Leks should not be re-seeded with plants that change the vegetation height previously found on the lek. Forbs should be stressed in early and late brood-rearing habitats. In situations of limited funds for ESR actions, prioritize rehabilitation of sage grouse habitats. (ESR)  In mountain brush vegetation types, where critical mule deer and bison habitat has been identified, allow wildland fires to burn up to 25% of the area. If the burn is extremely hot and kills the majority of the browse species, reseed using the following mixture: from 5,500 feet and above, use bitterbrush, serviceberry, mountain mahogany, Indian ricegrass, and bluebunch wheatgrass; below 5,500 feet use Wyoming sage, four wing saltbrush, cliffrose, and bluebunch wheatgrass. Use 12 lbs./acre on each elevation zone. (SUP, WFU)  Soil  Avoid heavy equipment use on highly erosive soils (soils with low soil loss tolerance), wet or boggy soils, and slopes greater than 30%, unless otherwise analyzed and allowed under appropriate NEPA evaluation with implementation of additional erosion control and other soil protection mitigation measures. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)  There may be situations where high intensity fire would occur on sensitive and erosive soil types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement appropriate or necessary soil stabilization actions such as	D-10			
identified, allow wildland fires to burn up to 25% of the area. If the burn is extremely hot and kills the majority of the browse species, reseed using the following mixture: from 5,500 feet and above, use bitterbrush, serviceberry, mountain mahogany, Indian ricegrass, and bluebunch wheatgrass; below 5,500 feet use Wyoming sage, four wing saltbrush, cliffrose, and bluebunch wheatgrass. Use 12 lbs./acre on each elevation zone. (SUP, WFU)  Soil  Avoid heavy equipment use on highly erosive soils (soils with low soil loss tolerance), wet or boggy soils, and slopes greater than 30%, unless otherwise analyzed and allowed under appropriate NEPA evaluation with implementation of additional erosion control and other soil protection mitigation measures. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)  There may be situations where high intensity fire would occur on sensitive and erosive soil types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement appropriate or necessary soil stabilization actions such as				
S-I  Avoid heavy equipment use on highly erosive soils (soils with low soil loss tolerance), wet or boggy soils, and slopes greater than 30%, unless otherwise analyzed and allowed under appropriate NEPA evaluation with implementation of additional erosion control and other soil protection mitigation measures. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)  There may be situations where high intensity fire would occur on sensitive and erosive soil types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement appropriate or necessary soil stabilization actions such as	All			
S-I boggy soils, and slopes greater than 30%, unless otherwise analyzed and allowed under appropriate NEPA evaluation with implementation of additional erosion control and other soil protection mitigation measures. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)  There may be situations where high intensity fire would occur on sensitive and erosive soil types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement appropriate or necessary soil stabilization actions such as	All			
types during wildland fire, wildland fire use or prescribed fire. If significant areas of soil show evidence of high severity fire, then evaluate area for soil erosion potential and downstream values at risk and implement appropriate or necessary soil stabilization actions such as				
mulching or seeding to avoid excessive wind and water erosion. (SUP, WFU, RX)	All			
S-3  Complete necessary rehabilitation on firelines or other areas of direct soil disturbance, including but not limited to waterbarring firelines, covering and mulching firelines with slash, tilling and/or subsoiling compacted areas, scarification of vehicle tracks, OHV closures, seeding and/or mulching for erosion protection. (SUP, WFU, RX)	All			
S-4 When using mechanical fuels reduction treatments, limit tractor and heavy equipment use to periods of low soil moisture to reduce the risk of soil compaction. If this is not practical, evaluate sites, post treatment, and if necessary, implement appropriate remediation, such as subsoiling, as part of the operation. (NF)	All			
S-5 Treatments such as chaining, plowing, and roller chopping shall be conducted as much as practical on the contour to reduce soil erosion (BLM ROD 13 Western States Vegetation Treatment EIS 1991). (NF, ESR)	All			
Recreation				
REC-I Wildfire suppression efforts would preferentially protect Special Recreation Management Areas and recreation site infrastructure in line with fire management goals and objectives. (SUP)	All			
REC-2 Vehicle tracks created off of established routes would be obliterated after fire management actions in order to reduce unauthorized OHV travel. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All			
REC-3 Do not use Fishlake for helicopter water drops to protect recreational uses. (SUP, WFU)	B-7			
REC-4 Contact the resource advisor for location of youth groups before any fire management activity. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	C-10, D-10			

Code	Protection Measures (and applicable fire management practices)	<b>FMUs</b>	
Miner	al Resources		
M-I	A safety buffer should be maintained between fire management activities and at-risk facilities. (SUP, WFU, RX)		
M-2	Suppress fires that may threaten the SUFCO mine. (SUP, WFU, RX)	VFU, RX) B-4	
M-3	The Kern River Natural Gas Pipeline runs through this FMU. Crossing the gas pipeline with heavy equipment needs to be coordinated with the pipeline owners. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)		
M-4	In the Eureka and Mammoth areas, mines are very common and need to be addressed on a site-by-site basis. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	C-4	
Paleon	tology		
P-I	Plan and implement projects consistent with BLM Manual and Handbook H-8270-1, Chapter III (A) and III (B) in order to avoid areas where significant fossils are known or predicted to occur, or to provide for other mitigation of possible adverse effects. (RX, NF, ESR)		
P-2	In the event that paleontological resources are discovered in the course of surface fire management activities, including fires suppression, efforts should be made to protect these resources. (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)		
Lands/	Access		
L-I	Fire management practices would be designed to avoid or otherwise ensure the protection of authorized rights-of-way and other facilities located on the public lands, including coordination with holders of major rights-of-way systems within rights-of-way corridors and communication sites. (WFU, RX, NF, ESR)		
L-2	Individual project plans will, as appropriate, identify and analyze access requirements for the timely implementation of fire management activities. Where legal access needs are not required, appropriate coordination with non-federal land owners would occur. (RX, NF, ESR)		
L-3	The actions of any fire management practice shall not destroy, deface, change, or remove to another place any monument or witness tree of the Public Land Survey System. Cadastral Surveys (see 18 USC Sec. 1858, Title 18, Part I, Chapter 91, Section 1858) (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)		
Wild H	lorses and Burros		
WHB-I	Avoid fencing that would restrict access to water. (ESR)	All	

# DRAFT – FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

# Attachment #2: BLM Response to Comments and Erratum to the EA

**Response to Comments:** 

Commenter	Comment	Response
and comment		
number		
Mark Belles MB-I	Comment is related to the data presented in Appendix D, FMUs which are identified in Appendix D in the "Other Goals and Objectives" column as candidates for wildland fire use. Comment relates specifically to the basic premise of determining acres available for Wildland Fire Use.  Comment cites FMUs C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C9, C6, C7, C8, C10, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, D7, D8, D9, and D10 specifically.	The rationale for the determination of wildland fire use acres for these FMUs varies per FMU. There are a myriad of considerations made in determining the appropriate acreage goals per FMU. Wildland fire use acre projections are highly dependent on many factors including budget, changing management goals and objectives, desired wildland fire conditions, actual conditions of the candidate fire considered for wildland fire use, and personnel constraints.
	"What is the rationale for limiting the use of Wildland Fire to such an extreme extent in these areas? Without further justification these FMUs should have a much expended [sic] use of Wildland Fire in accordance with the Purpose and Need of the project.  "Other locations list reasons for limiting the acres available for Wildland Fire Use, but provide no correlation between the extent of the acreage associated with the various cited resource protection concerns.	Further, these FMUs contain resources and values which may be negatively impacted and there are no reasonable Resource Protection Measures to protect such resources and values. Resources and values in these FMUs include:  • WUI areas • Important terrestrial and aquatic habitats, including habitat for Bonneville Cutthroat Trout, sage grouse, Utah Prairie Dog and pygmy rabbit. • Sensitive cultural resources • Communication sites • Areas that are known to be highly susceptible to post-fire cheatgrass or invasive weed invasion
	"With the possible exception of Parker (C8) and Lost Creek (D7) FMUs the percentage of acreage where Wildland Fire Use is permitted [seems] extremely low."	This information originates from the Richfield Support Area Draft FMP, from which this EA's proposed action was derived.
B. Sachau BS- I	Erosion - there is no question that the erosion when trees and vegetation stop holding the soil because they are burned out of existence. The streams are adversely affected with the resultant erosion.	See Ch. 4.2.15 (Soil) and 4.2.7 (Water Quality) of the Environmental Assessment (EA) for analysis of erosion impacts.
BS-2	Birds and wildlife, including endangered species, are injured and	See Ch. 4.2.14 (Fish and Wildlife) of the EA for discussion of impacts on wildlife,

# DRAFT – FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

BS-3	die. They cannot escape these fires and some are injured and some die. Since the lands should be held for their protection, this burning is atrocious and horrible.  Dropping chemicals to control the fire means that toxic chemicals are dropped onto the land, certainly not helpful to environmental health.	including birds. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, per compliance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, has completed a Biological Opinion on the selected alternative (Sept. 2005). The Biological Opinion identifies measures that reduce impacts to threatened and endangered species. A summary of these measures is presented in Appendix I of the EA.  See Ch. 4.2.7 (Water Quality) of the EA for discussion of water quality impacts. Aerial application of fire retardant is considered in Resource Protection Measure WET-6 and WET-4, Appendix E. All FMP actions would follow BLM standard operating procedures regarding aerial application of fire retardant.
BS-4	Burning can sterilize the soil so that nothing at all grows in the future and for a very long time. A burn can represent up to ten years without vegetation - creating a heat island in this time of global warming.	See Ch. 4.2.15 (Soil) and 4.2.13 (Vegetation) for discussion of soil and vegetation impacts.
BS-5	Air pollution -prescribed burning releases fine particulate matter which can and does travel thousands of miles, particularly eastward negatively impacting a great portion of the United States. Such fine particulate matter, which cannot be seen with the naked eye as "smoke" causes lung cancer, pneumonia, heart attacks, strokes, allergies and asthma, among other negative human health effects. Such injury and death to fellow Americans is beyond the pale.	See Ch. 4.2.1 (Air Quality) for discussion of impacts to air quality as stated in the EA. BLM will comply with all federal and state air pollution laws and regulations and will coordinate with the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality when implementing fire management actions.
BS-6	Out of control fires are far too frequent. The U.S. has been plagued with them in Oklahoma and Texas this year. New Mexico had an out of control fire last year. We had one on the Delaware River in New Jersey not too long ago. These out of control fires which result from planned burning are extremely expensive and cost the taxpayers millions of dollars. There are many other good reasons NOT to allow this prescribed burning of land. Please consider this my opposition to your plans.	The BLM notes the comment.

#### DRAFT – FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

## **Erratum to the EA**

Resource Protection Measure END-3 is modified to state that site-specific conservation measures are identified in the USFWS Biological Opinion rather than the Biological Assessment. Appendix E, "Resource Protection Measures under Proposed Action," therefore, is modified as shown below.

Code	Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate Species (plants and animals)	Fire Management Units
END-3	See site-specific conservation measures identified in the Biological Opinion (see Appendix I of the EA). (SUP, WFU, RX, NF, ESR)	All